UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SHAKEEM HODGE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

RYKERS ISLAND,

Defendant.

24-CV-5737 (LTS)

ORDER TO AMEND

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff brings this action *pro se* under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that his constitutional rights were violated during his detention at Rikers Island. By order dated July 30, 2024, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), that is, without prepayment of fees. For the reasons set forth below, the Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint within 60 days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an IFP complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co., 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the claims raised. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

¹ Plaintiff spells the facility's name as "Rykers Island," but the usual spelling is Rikers Island.

² Prisoners are not exempt from paying the full filing fee, even when they have been granted permission to proceed IFP. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

Rule 8 requires a complaint to include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id*.

BACKGROUND

The complaint sets forth the following facts. On January 1, 2015, in Plaintiff's housing area on Rikers Island, "many inmates" assaulted him, breaking his back "in 4 places," and also

breaking his neck, face, nose, eye sockets, hip, and skull. (ECF 1 \P III.) Correction officers also punched and stepped on him. (*Id.*) Plaintiff further alleges, without further explanation,³

the court room know[s] about my injuries and civil rights that saw me when I was in there. The court room have my IEEE I beleave – is a collection of networking standards that cover the physical and data link layer specification for technologies such was Ethernet and wireless.

(*Id*.)

Plaintiff seeks \$350 million in damages. (*Id.* ¶ IV.)

DISCUSSION

A. Section 1983

Plaintiff asserts claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. To state a claim under Section 1983, a plaintiff must allege both that: (1) a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) the right was violated by a person acting under the color of state law, or a "state actor." *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48-49 (1988). The Court does not reach this analysis, however, because Plaintiff's complaint is deficient on two threshold grounds. First, claims cannot be brought against Rikers Island as a defendant and the claims appear to be untimely.

1. Claims against Rikers Island

Rikers Island is not a "person" within the meaning of § 1983. See generally Whitley v. Westchester Cty. Corr. Fac. Admin., ECF 1:97-CV-420, 1997 WL 659100, at *7 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 22, 1997) (correctional facility or jail is not a "person" within the meaning of § 1983). Therefore, Plaintiff's claims against Rikers Island are dismissed for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

3

³ The Court quotes from the complaint verbatim. All capitalization, spelling, grammar, and punctuation are as in the original unless otherwise indicated.

If Plaintiff files an amended complaint to name a different Defendant or Defendants, he must allege facts showing each defendants' direct and personal involvement in the alleged constitutional deprivation. *See Spavone v. N.Y. State Dep't of Corr. Serv.*, 719 F.3d 127, 135 (2d Cir. 2013) ("It is well settled in this Circuit that personal involvement of defendants in the alleged constitutional deprivations is a prerequisite to an award of damages under § 1983." (internal quotation marks omitted)).

2. City of New York

It may be Plaintiff's intention to sue the City of New York. When a plaintiff sues a municipality under Section 1983, it is not enough for the plaintiff to allege that one of the municipality's employees or agents engaged in some wrongdoing. The plaintiff must show that the municipality itself caused the violation of the plaintiff's rights. *See Connick v. Thompson*, 563 U.S. 51, 60 (2011) ("A municipality or other local government may be liable under . . . section [1983] if the governmental body itself 'subjects' a person to a deprivation of rights or 'causes' a person 'to be subjected' to such deprivation.") (quoting *Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs.*, 436 U.S. 658, 692 (1978)); *Cash v. Cnty. of Erie*, 654 F.3d 324, 333 (2d Cir. 2011). In other words, to state a Section 1983 claim against a municipality, the plaintiff must allege facts showing (1) the existence of a municipal policy, custom, or practice, and (2) that the policy, custom, or practice caused the violation of the plaintiff's constitutional rights. *See Jones v. Town of East Haven*, 691 F.3d 72, 80 (2d Cir. 2012); *Bd. of Cnty. Comm'rs v. Brown*, 520 U.S. 397, 403 (1997) (internal citations omitted).

A plaintiff may satisfy the policy or custom requirement by alleging one of the following: "(1) a formal policy officially endorsed by the municipality; (2) actions taken by government officials responsible for establishing the municipal policies that caused the particular deprivation in question; (3) a practice so consistent and widespread that, although not expressly authorized,

constitutes a custom or usage of which a supervising policy-maker must have been aware; or (4) a failure by policymakers to provide adequate training or supervision to subordinates to such an extent that it amounts to deliberate indifference to the rights of the those who come into contact with the municipal employees." *Brandon v. City of New York*, 705 F. Supp. 2d 161, 276-77 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) (citations omitted).

Should Plaintiff wish to file an amended complaint and sue the City of New York, he must name the City of New York as a Defendant and allege facts showing that a municipal policy, custom, or practice contributed to the violation of his constitutional rights.

3. Timeliness of Claims

It appears that Plaintiff's claims are time-barred. The statute of limitations for Section 1983 claims is found in the "general or residual [state] statute [of limitations] for personal injury actions." *Pearl v. City of Long Beach*, 296 F.3d 76, 79 (2d Cir. 2002) (quoting *Owens v. Okure*, 488 U.S. 235, 249-50 (1989)). In New York, that period is three years. *See* N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 214(5). Section 1983 claims generally accrue when a plaintiff knows or has reason to know of the injury that is the basis of the claim. *Hogan v. Fischer*, 738 F.3d 509, 518 (2d Cir. 2013). Here, Plaintiff alleges that inmates and correction officers assaulted him on January 10, 2015. He did not file the complaint in this action until July 20, 2024, almost a decade after his claims accrued.

The doctrine of equitable tolling permits a court, "under compelling circumstances, [to] make narrow exceptions to the statute of limitations in order 'to prevent inequity.'" *In re U.S. Lines, Inc.*, 318 F.3d 432, 436 (2d Cir. 2003) (citation omitted). The statute of limitations may be equitably tolled, for example, when a defendant fraudulently conceals from a plaintiff the fact that the plaintiff has a cause of action, or when the plaintiff is induced by the defendant to forego a lawsuit until the relevant limitations period has expired. *See Pearl*, 296 F.3d at 82-83. In addition, New York law provides that, where a person "is under a disability because of . . .

insanity at the time the cause of action accrues," the applicable statute of limitations will be tolled. N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 208; *Gardner v. Wansart*, No. 05-CV-3351 (SHS) (GWG), 2006 WL 2742043, at *5 n.4 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 25, 2006) (although mental illness is on its own insufficient for equitable tolling purposes, tolling is appropriate if a plaintiff is insane at the time the cause of action accrues and is "unable to protect [his] legal rights because of an overall inability to function in society"). New York also provides by statute for other circumstances in which a limitations period may be tolled. *See, e.g.*, N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 204(a) (where commencement of an action has been stayed by court order), *id.* § 204 (where a dispute has been submitted to arbitration but is ultimately determined to be non-arbitrable), *id.* § 207(3) (defendant is outside New York at the time the claim accrues), *id.* § 208 (plaintiff is disabled by infancy or insanity), *id.* § 210 (death of plaintiff or defendant).

Plaintiff does not provide any facts suggesting that the statute of limitations should be equitably tolled in this case. Because the failure to file an action within the limitations period is an affirmative defense, a plaintiff is generally not required to plead that the case is timely filed. See Abbas v. Dixon, 480 F.3d 636, 640 (2d Cir. 2007). Dismissal is appropriate, however, where the existence of an affirmative defense, such as the statute of limitations, is plain from the face of the pleading. See Walters v. Indus. & Com. Bank of China, Ltd., 651 F.3d 280, 293 (2d Cir. 2011) ("[D]istrict courts may dismiss an action sua sponte on limitations grounds in certain circumstances where the facts supporting the statute of limitations defense are set forth in the papers plaintiff himself submitted." (internal quotation marks and citation omitted)); Pino v. Ryan, 49 F.3d 51, 53 (2d Cir. 1995) (affirming sua sponte dismissal of complaint as frivolous on statute of limitations grounds).

The Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to replead his claims in an amended complaint alleging facts showing that his claims are timely or that equitable tolling should apply. *See Abbas*, 480 F.3d at 640 (concluding that district court should grant notice and opportunity to be heard before dismissing complaint *sua sponte* on statute of limitations grounds).

B. Supplemental Jurisdiction

A district court may decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction of state law claims when it "has dismissed all claims over which it has original jurisdiction." 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3). Generally, "when the federal-law claims have dropped out of the lawsuit in its early stages and only state-law claims remain, the federal court should decline the exercise of jurisdiction." *Carnegie-Mellon Univ. v. Cohill*, 484 U.S. 343, 350 n.7 (1988).

Because Plaintiff has been granted leave to file an amended complaint, the Court will determine at a later stage whether to exercise its supplemental jurisdiction of any state law claims he may be asserting. *See Kolari v. New York-Presbyterian Hosp.*, 455 F.3d 118, 122 (2d Cir. 2006) ("Subsection (c) of § 1367 'confirms the discretionary nature of supplemental jurisdiction by enumerating the circumstances in which district courts can refuse its exercise." (quoting *City of Chicago v. Int'l Coll. of Surgeons*, 522 U.S. 156, 173 (1997))).

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v.*

USAA Fed. Sav. Bank, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). In light of Plaintiff's pro se status, the Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to amend his complaint to detail his claims.

Plaintiff is granted leave to amend his complaint to provide more facts about his claims. First, Plaintiff must name as the defendant(s) in the caption⁴ and in the statement of claim those individuals who were allegedly involved in the deprivation of his federal rights. If Plaintiff does not know the name of a defendant, he may refer to that individual as "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" in both the caption and the body of the amended complaint. The naming of "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" defendants, however, does *not* toll the three-year statute of limitations period governing this action and Plaintiff shall be responsible for ascertaining the true identity of any "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" defendants and amending his complaint to include the identity of any "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" defendants before the statute of limitations period expires. Should Plaintiff seek to add a new claim or party after the statute of limitations period has expired, he must meet the requirements of Rule 15(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

In the "Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant. If Plaintiff has an address for any named defendant, Plaintiff must provide it. Plaintiff should include all of the information in the amended complaint that he wants the Court to

8

⁴ The caption is located on the front page of the complaint. Each individual defendant must be named in the caption. Plaintiff may attach additional pages if there is not enough space to list all of the defendants in the caption. If Plaintiff needs to attach an additional page to list all defendants, he should write "see attached list" on the first page of the Amended Complaint. Any defendants named in the caption must also be discussed in Plaintiff's statement of claim.

⁵ For example, a defendant may be identified as: "Correction Officer John Doe #1 on duty August 31, 2010, at the Anna M. Kross Center on Rikers Island, during the 7 a.m. to3 p.m. shift."

consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief. That information should include:

- a) the names and titles of all relevant people;
- b) a description of all relevant events, including what each defendant did or failed to do, the approximate date and time of each event, and the general location where each event occurred;
- c) a description of the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- d) the relief Plaintiff seeks, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, Plaintiff's amended complaint should tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights and how; when and where such violations occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wants to include from the original complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within 60 days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 24-CV-5737 (LTS). An Amended Civil Rights

Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

The Court certifies, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith and, therefore, IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *Cf. Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates

good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 17, 2024

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
Chief United States District Judge

		ISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF NEW YORK				
(In the	-	enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).)	AMENDED COMPLAINT under the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983	COMPLAINT under the Civil Rights Act,		
			Jury Trial: □ Yes □ No (check one))		
			Civ()			
canno please additi listed	ot fit the names e write "see of ional sheet of in the above of	enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s). If you of all of the defendants in the space provided, attached" in the space above and attach an paper with the full list of names. The names aption must be identical to those contained in hould not be included here.)				
I.	Parties in	this complaint:				
A.	List your name, identification number, and the name and address of your current place o confinement. Do the same for any additional plaintiffs named. Attach additional sheets of pape as necessary.					
Plain	ID Cu	ame#drrent Institutionddress				
B.	List all defendants' names, positions, places of employment, and the address where each defendar may be served. Make sure that the defendant(s) listed below are identical to those contained in the above caption. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.					
Defendant No. 1		NameWhere Currently EmployedAddress				
				_		

Case 1:24-cv-05737-LTS Document 8 Filed 10/17/24 Page 12 of 17

	Defendant No. 2	NameWhere Currently Employed		
		Address		
	Defendant No. 3	NameWhere Currently EmployedAddress	Shield #	
Who did what?	Defendant No. 4	NameWhere Currently EmployedAddress	Shield #	
	Defendant No. 5	NameWhere Currently EmployedAddress		
	caption of this comp You may wish to in rise to your claims. number and set fort	possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each or plaint is involved in this action, along with the dates and I reclude further details such as the names of other persons. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allegth each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional titution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur	ocations of all relevant events. s involved in the events giving ge a number of related claims, I sheets of paper as necessary.	
	B. Where in the	Where in the institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?		
	C. What date	and approximate time did the events giving ris	se to your claim(s) occur?	
What happened	D. Facts:			

Was		
anyone else		
involved?		
Who else saw what happened?		Injuries: a sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical nent, if any, you required and received.
	IV.	Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies:
	broug prisor	rison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), requires that "[n]o action shall be ht with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a ner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are ble are exhausted." Administrative remedies are also known as grievance procedures.
	A.	Did your claim(s) arise while you were confined in a jail, prison, or other correctional facility?
		Yes No

giving	e the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where you were confined at the time of the rise to your claim(s).			
Does proce	the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose have a grievance dure?			
Yes _	No Do Not Know			
	Does the grievance procedure at the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose cover some or all of your claim(s)?			
Yes _	No Do Not Know			
If YE	S, which claim(s)?			
Did y	ou file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose?			
Yes_	No			
	O, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any other jail, n, or other correctional facility?			
Yes_	No			
	If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you file the grievance?			
1.	Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve?			
2. 	What was the result, if any?			
3. the hi	What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal to ghest level of the grievance process.			
If you	ı did not file a grievance:			
1.	If there are any reasons why you did not file a grievance, state them here:			

	2.	If you did not file a grievance but informed any officials of your claim, state who you informed, when and how, and their response, if any:
G.	Please remedi	set forth any additional information that is relevant to the exhaustion of your administrative es.
Note:	You m admini	as attach as exhibits to this complaint any documents related to the exhaustion of your strative remedies.
v.	Relief:	
	-	want the Court to do for you (including the amount of monetary compensation, if any, that
you ar	e seeking	g and the basis for such amount).

VI.	Previ	ous lawsuits:	
A.	Have action	you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this and the same facts involved in this are same facts in the same facts ind	
	Yes _	No	
B.	there	ar answer to A is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another sheet of paper, using time format.)	
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:	
	Plaint	tiff	
	Defer	ndants	
	2.Cou	art (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)	
	3.	Docket or Index number	
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case	
	5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit	
	6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No	
		If NO, give the approximate date of disposition	
	7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)	
C.	Have you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court otherwise relating to your imprisonment Yes No		
D.	there	ar answer to C is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another piece of paper, using time format.)	
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:	
	Plaintiff		
	Defendants		
	2.	Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)	
	3.	Docket or Index number	
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case	
	5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit	

On these claims

> other claims

6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No
	If NO, give the approximate date of disposition
7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)
I doolows	under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
	s day of, 20
	Signature of Plaintiff
	Inmate Number
	Institution Address
NT	
	l plaintiffs named in the caption of the complaint must date and sign the complaint and provide eir inmate numbers and addresses.
I declare u	ander penalty of perjury that on this day of, 20, I am delivering
this compl	aint to prison authorities to be mailed to the Pro Se Office of the United States District Court for
the Southe	rn District of New York.
	Signature of Plaintiff:
	Signature of Framum.